

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
- P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/937,518                             | 01/25/2002          | Jonathan Mark Cooper | 9013-37             | 2211             |
| 23510 7                                | 510 7590 09/20/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP          |                     |                      | SNAY, JEFFREY R     |                  |
| ONE SOUTH PINCKNEY STREET P O BOX 1806 |                     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| MADISON, WI 53701                      |                     |                      | 1743                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Annicontin  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | 09/937,518  | COOPER ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | Jeffrey R. Snay   | 1743  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a  |   | -   |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county appropriate to the County Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on, but it does the county Aproposed reply was received on | f Mailing or Transmission dated  f month(s)) which expired on _ | ·   |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject  |   |   |  |  |  |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fill Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3  | ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                          | or (3) a timely filed Request for                     |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ol>  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).   |   |   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |   |   |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>  | equired by, and within the three-month                          | period set in, the Notice of                          |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.   | the attorney or agent of record, the ass                        | signee of the entire interest, or all of              |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Jeffrey R. Snay<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1743 |  |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with minimize any negative effects on patent term.   | draw the holding of abandonment under 37                        | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to                |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  | e of Abandonment  | Part of Paper No. 09162005                            |  |  |  |